CELIM - ETS
Sede legale: Piazza Fontana, 2 20122 - Milano
Sede operativa: Via Donatello, 5b c/o Fondazione Avsi 20131 - Milano
celim@celim.it - tel + 39 02 58.31.78.10 - tel/fax + 39 02 58.31.63.24
CF 80202830156 - ccp 000052380201 - ccb IT38A0501801600000011080678
www.celim.it

ERRATA CORRIGE

With reference to the Small Grants for Environmental Civil Society Organisations (ECSOs) and Local Action Groups (LAGs), under the project 'ECSOs Joint Action for the Promotion of the Natura 2000 Network in Albania (APN2000)', funded by the European Union under the grant project: "Support to Civil Society Organisations in Albania in the field of Environment, Culture and Food Safety and Consumer Protection" - Civil Society Facility and Media Programme 2023-2024

Reference: IPA-2024_460_733

The following amendments to the Call for Proposals are hereby introduced:

1. Amendment to Article 1.3 – Financial Allocation

The total financial allocation is updated to EUR 86,000, of which EUR 54,000 is allocated to Lot 2.

All other information and provisions under Article 1.3 remain unchanged.

2. Amendment to Article 5.1 – Evaluation and Scoring Criteria

The scoring criteria set out in Article 5.1 have been revised as follows:

The evaluation grid is divided into sections and subsections. Each subsection will be awarded a score between 1 and 5, as follows:

1 = Very Poor
2 = Poor
3 = Adequate
4 = Good
5 = Very Good

Evaluation grid

Section	Maximum Score
1. Financial and operational capacity	**20**
1.1 Do the applicants have sufficient in-house experience of project management?	5
1.2 Do the applicants have sufficient in-house technical expertise in the environmental sector, in line with proposed activities?	5
1.3 Do the applicants have sufficient in-house management capacity? (Including staff, equipment and ability to handle the budget for the action)?	5
1.4 Does the lead applicant have stable and sufficient sources of finance?	5
2. Relevance	**20**

CELIM - ETS
Sede legale: Piazza Fontana, 2 20122 - Milano
Sede operativa: Via Donatello, 5b c/o Fondazione Avsi 20131 - Milano
celim@celim.it - tel + 39 02 58.31.78.10 - tel/fax + 39 02 58.31.63.24
CF 80202830156 - ccp 000052380201 - ccb IT38A0501801600000011080678
www.celim.it

Relevance of the action to the objectives and priorities of the call for proposals.	
3. Design of the action	**15**
3.1 **Intervention logic**: Does the proposal indicate the expected results (outputs/outcomes/impacts) to be achieved by the action? Does the design of the proposed action identify explicitly the necessary sequence to achieve the desired objectives beginning with inputs, moving through activities and outputs, and culminating in outcomes and impacts? Is the indicative list of activities linked to and consistent with the expected outputs?	5
3.2 **Logical Framework Matrix**: Is the logical framework provided in Annex C complete? Does each result (output, outcome, impact) include an adequate number of indicators that are sufficient in scope to measure its achievement? Is each indicator RACER (Relevant, Accepted, Credible, Easy to monitor, Robust)? Does each indicator have a baseline value (with year), target value (with year), and a credible source of data? If baselines and targets are not available, this is to be justified and a study (or other relevant tools) to be foreseen and budgeted in the proposal?	5
3.3 **Context analysis**. Does the design of the action include a robust analysis of the needs to be addressed, including the capacities of the relevant stakeholders? Are those also embedded adequately in the intervention logic?	5
4. Implementation approach	**15**
4.1 **Action plan**: Is the action plan for implementing the action clear and feasible? Are types of activities clearly clustered by output in the Activities Matrix? Is the timeline realistic?	5
4.2 **Monitoring, reporting and evaluation**: Does the proposal include an effective and efficient monitoring and reporting system? Is the system in place adequate to update the values of the indicators included in the Logical Framework Matrix - thus informing regularly on progress towards the achievement of impact, outcomes and outputs? Is there an evaluation planned and budgeted (previous, during or/and at the end of the implementation)? If relevant, is the role of third party assessor included?	5
4.3 **Project management (technical)**: Do the co-applicant(s) and (if applicable) their affiliated entities have the necessary technical skills to attain the objectives of the action? Are the co-applicant(s)'s and affiliated entity(ies)'s adequately involved in the implementation (e.g. advocacy, research, capacity building, outreach related activities)?	5
5. Sustainability of the action	**15**
5.1 **Long-lasting benefits**: Is the action likely to ensure long lasting and transformative benefits to the target groups and the final beneficiaries?	5
5.2 **Multiplier effects**: Is the action likely to have multiplier effects, including scope for replication, extension, cross-fertilisation of experience and knowledge sharing?	5
5.3 **Sustainability** How likely the effects are to last after the intervention ends? - Financial sustainability: which financial resources are available to fund the continuation of the services provided by the intervention? How long are they likely to be available and from which sources?) - Institutional sustainability: which institutional arrangements allow for maintaining the benefits achieved? Is there any measure in place to ensure local ownership?	5

CELIM - ETS
Sede legale: Piazza Fontana, 2 20122 - Milano
Sede operativa: Via Donatello, 5b c/o Fondazione Avsi 20131 - Milano
celim@celim.it - tel + 39 02 58.31.78.10 - tel/fax + 39 02 58.31.63.24
CF 80202830156 - ccp 000052380201 - ccb IT38A0501801600000011080678
www.celim.it

- Policy level sustainability (if applicable): is there any expected policy related effect from the action, e.g. improved legislation, codes of conduct, methods - Environmental sustainability (if applicable): will the action have a negative/positive environmental impact? - Risk analysis and mitigation measures: will the action be accompanied by a good risk analysis (including physical, environmental, political, economic and social risks) and relevant mitigation measures?	
6. Budget and efficiency of the action	**10**
6.1 **Budget:** Are the activities appropriately reflected in the budget?	5
6.2 **Efficiency**: Is the relation between the estimated amounts as per budget and the expected results adequate??	5
7. Participation in project activities	5
7.1 has the NGO attended minimum 70% of the traininsg?	5
Maximum total score	**100**

If the total score for Section 1 (financial and operational capacity) is less than 12 points, the application will be rejected. If the score for at least one of the subsections under Section 1 is 1, the application will also be rejected.

All other provisions of the Call for Proposals remain unchanged.